arXiv:1408.0444v3 [math-ph] 24 Feb 2015

QUANTUM DILOGARITHMS AND PARTITION q-SERIES

AKISHI KATO AND YUJI TERASHIMA

Abstract. In our previous work [9], we introduced the partition q-series for mutation loop γ — a loop in exchange quiver. In this paper, we show that for certain class of mutation sequences, called reddening sequences, graded version of partition q-series essentially coincides with the ordered product of quantum dilogarithm associated with each mutation; the partition q-series provides a state-sum description of combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants introduced by B. Keller.

1. Introduction

Kontsevich and Soibelman's groundbreaking work [13, 14] introduced some completely new ideas and techniques into the BPS state counting problems in physics. Their work as well as Nagao [15, 16] and Reineke [19, 20] motivated Keller [10, 11, 12] to study the product of quantum dilogarithms along a quiver mutation sequence. He showed that it is independent of the choice of a reddening mutation sequence and is an important invariant of a quiver which he called the combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas (DT) invariant.

In our previous work [9], we introduced the partition q-series for a mutation loop. A mutation loop is a mutation sequence supplemented by a boundary condition which specifies how the vertices of the initial and the final quiver are identified. One of our motivation is to provide a solid mathematical foundation to extract an essential information of the partition function of a 3-dimensional gauge theory. In particular, we showed for a special sequence of a Dynkin quiver or square product thereof, the partition q-series reproduce so-called fermionic character formulas of certain modules associated with affine Lie algebras.

In this paper, we analyze the relationship between partition q-series and the combinatorial DT-invariants. For that purpose, we refined the definition of our partition q-series by introducing a (noncommutative) grading and making it sensitive to "orientation" (green or red) of each mutation.

The main result of this paper is summarized as follows (see Theorem 6.1 for more precise statement): for any reddening sequence (= a mutation sequence for which the combinatorial DT invariant is defined), the refined version of the partition q-series coincides with the combinatorial DT invariant (up to involution $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$). Therefore, the partition q-series provide "state-sum" description of combinatorial DT-invariants that are given in "operator formalism".

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic concepts of quiver mutation sequences and c-vectors. In Section 3, we introduce the (refined version of) the partition q-series $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$ for the mutation loop γ. In Section 4 we show that the partition q-series are invariant under insertion/deletion of backtracking.

Date: 2014-11-19.

Section 5 summarizes the basic facts about reddening sequences and combinatorial DT-invariants. Section 6 is the main part of this paper; we prove that for any reddening mutation sequence, the partition q-series essentially coincides with the combinatorial DT invariant. The final section is devoted to some explicit computation of partition q-series for various type of quivers.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank H. Fuji, K. Hikami, A. Kuniba, R. Inoue, J. Suzuki, S. Terashima, O. Warnaar and M. Yamazaki for for helpful discussion. We would also like to thank the anonymous referee for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. This work was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research Grant (KAKENHI) Number 23654079 and 25400083. This paper is dedicated to the memory of Kentaro Nagao.

2. Quiver mutation sequences

2.1. **Quivers and mutation sequences.** A *quiver* $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ is an oriented graph with the set Q_0 of arrows and the set Q_1 of vertices. In this paper all quivers are assumed to be finite connected oriented graphs without loops or 2-cycles:

loop 2-cycle .

Throughout the paper, we identify the set of vertices Q_0 with $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By a slight abuse of notation, we denote by

$$Q_{ij} := \#\{(i \to j) \in Q_1\} \tag{2.1}$$

the multiplicity of the arrow, and consider them as entries of an $n \times n$ matrix. There is a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the quivers without loops or} \\ \text{2-cycles, } Q_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the skew-symmetric integer} \\ n \times n\text{-matrices } B \end{array} \right\}$$

via

$$B_{ij} = Q_{ij} - Q_{ji}, \qquad Q_{ij} = \max(B_{ij}, 0). \tag{2.2}$$

For a quiver Q and its vertex k, the *mutated quiver* $\mu_k(Q)$ is defined [6]: it has the same set of vertices as Q; its set of arrows is obtained from that of Q as follows:

1) for each path $i \to k \to j$ of length two, add a new arrow $i \to j$;
2) reverse all arrows with source or target k;
3) remove the arrows in a maximal set of pairwise disjoint 2-cycles.

It is well known that $\mu_k(\mu_k(Q)) = Q$ for any $1 \le k \le n$.

A finite sequence of vertices of Q, $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$ is called *mutation sequence*. By putting $Q(t) := \mu_{m_t}(Q(t-1))$, $\boldsymbol{m}$ induces a (discrete) time evolution of quivers:

(2.3)

$$Q(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} Q(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow Q(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} Q(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} Q(T)$$

$Q(0)$ and $Q(T)$ are called the *initial* and the *final* quiver, respectively. We will often use the notation $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q) = \mu_{m_T}(\cdots \mu_{m_2}(\mu_{m_1}(Q)) \cdots)$.

The quiver mutation corresponds to matrix mutation defined by Fomin-Zelevinsky [6]. The matrix $B(t)$ corresponding to $Q(t)$ is given by [7]

(2.4)
$$B(t)_{ij} = \begin{cases} -B(t-1)_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ B(t-1)_{ij} + \mathrm{sgn}(B(t-1)_{ik}) \max(B(t-1)_{ik}B(t-1)_{kj}, 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $Q(0)$ and $Q(T)$ are isomorphic as oriented graphs. An isomorphism $\varphi : Q(T) \to Q(0)$ regarded as a bijection on the set of vertices, is called *boundary condition* of the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$. We represent φ by a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e. $\varphi \in S_n$. The triple $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}, \varphi)$ is called a *mutation loop*.

2.2. **Ice quivers and c-vectors.** We will follow the terminology in [1]. An *ice quiver* is a pair $(\widetilde{Q}, F)$ where $\widetilde{Q}$ is a quiver and $F \subset \widetilde{Q}_0$ is a (possibly empty) subset of vertices called *frozen vertices* such that there are no arrows between them. Two ice quivers $(\widetilde{Q}, F)$ and $(\widetilde{Q}', F')$ are called *frozen isomorphic* if $F = F'$ and there is an isomorphism of quivers $\phi : \widetilde{Q} \to \widetilde{Q}'$ such that $\phi|F = \mathrm{id}_F$.

For any quiver Q, there is a standard way of constructing an ice quiver $Q^\wedge$ called *framed quiver*. $Q^\wedge$ is an ice quiver obtained from Q by adding, for each vertex i, a new frozen vertex i' and a new arrow $i \to i'$:

$$F = \{i' | i \in Q_0\}, \qquad (Q^\wedge)_0 = Q_0 \sqcup F, \qquad (Q^\wedge)_1 = Q_1 \sqcup \{i \to i' | i \in Q_0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_T)$ be a mutation sequence for Q. By putting

$$\widetilde{Q}(0) = Q^\wedge, \qquad \widetilde{Q}(t) = \mu_{m_t}(\widetilde{Q}(t-1)) \qquad (t = 1, 2, \ldots, T) \tag{2.6}$$

we can construct a sequence of ice quivers

(2.7)
$$\widetilde{Q}(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} \widetilde{Q}(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{Q}(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} \widetilde{Q}(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} \widetilde{Q}(T)\,.$$

Note that we never mutate at frozen vertices $F = \{1', \ldots, n'\}$. The quiver $\widetilde{Q}(t)$ will be called the *ice quiver corresponding to* $Q(t)$. Let $\widetilde{B}(t)$ be the antisymmetric matrix corresponding to $\widetilde{Q}(t)$. The c-vectors are defined by counting the number of arrows to/from frozen vertices:

Definition 2.1. A *c-vector of vertex v in $Q(t)$* is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by

$$c_v(t) := \big(\widetilde{B}(t)_{vi'}\big)_{i=1}^n. \tag{2.8}$$

If the vertices of $\widetilde{Q}(t)$ are ordered as $(1, \ldots, n, 1', \ldots, n')$, the antisymmetric matrix $\widetilde{B}(t)$ has the block form

$$\widetilde{B}(t) = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline B(t) & C(t) \\ \hline -C(t)^\top & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad C(t) = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline c_1(t) \\ \hline c_2(t) \\ \hline \cdots \\ \hline c_n(t) \\ \hline \end{array}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $X^\top$ denotes the transpose of X. The $n \times n$ block $C(t)$ is called *c-matrix*, which consists of row of c-vectors. By construction, $c_i(0) = e_i$, where e_i is the standard unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Theorem 2.2 (Sign coherence)**.** Each c-vector is nonzero and lies in $\mathbb{N}^n$ or $(-\mathbb{N})^n$.

This is conjectured in [7] and was proved in [3], [18]. Nagao [16] gave an alternative proof by using Donaldson-Thomas theory.



FIGURE 1. Pentagon and the A_2 quiver. The green and red vertices are marked with circles and boxes, respectively. Both $\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 2)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' = (2, 1, 2)$ are maximal green sequences.

2.3. **Green and red mutations.** Following Keller [10], we call the vertex v of $Q(t)$ is *green* (resp. *red*) if $c_v(t) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (resp. $-c_v(t) \in \mathbb{N}^n$). By definition, every vertex of the initial quiver $Q(0)$ is green. The mutation $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ is *green* (resp. *red*) if the mutating vertex m_t is green (resp. red) on $Q(t-1)$, i.e. on the quiver before mutation,[1] and the *sign* ε_t of the mutation μ_{m_t} is defined as

$$\varepsilon_t = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \mu_{m_t} \text{ is green,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \mu_{m_t} \text{ is red.} \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

A mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_T)$ is called *green sequence* if m_t is green for all t, and is *maximal green sequence* if all of the vertex of the final quiver $Q(T)$ are red. In Figure 1, the two maximal green sequences (12) and (212) are shown for A_2 quiver.

By inspecting the matrix mutation rules (2.4) for the ice quivers $\widetilde{Q}(t)$, it is easy to see how the c-vector changes via mutations:

Lemma 2.3. Under the mutation $\mu_v : Q(t) \to Q(t+1)$, c-vector changes as

$$c_i(t+1) = \begin{cases} -c_i(t) & \text{if } i = v \\ c_i(t) + Q(t)_{i,v} \cdot c_v(t) & \text{if } i \neq v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is green} \\ c_i(t) + Q(t)_{v,i} \cdot c_v(t) & \text{if } i \neq v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is red} \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Corollary 2.4. $\det C(t) = (-1)^t$. In particular, $C(t) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and, c-vectors $\{c_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n$ constitutes a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for each t.

2.4. **Noncommutative algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$.** We introduce a noncommutative associative algebra in which quantum dilogarithms and combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants take their values.

[1]The sign of the mutating vertex changes after the mutation. If the vertex m_t is green on $Q(t-1)$, then it is red on $Q(t)$.

Let Q be a quiver with vertices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We define a skew symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = B_{ij} = -B_{ji} = Q_{ij} - Q_{ji}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let R be a commutative ring[2] containing $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$. Let $\mathbb{A}_Q$ be a noncommutative associative algebra over R presented as

$$\mathbb{A}_Q = R\langle\, y^\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \ \mid y^\alpha y^\beta = q^{\frac{1}{2}\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle} y^{\alpha+\beta}\,\rangle. \tag{2.13}$$

Its completion with respect to the $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading is denoted by $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$. We may regard $\mathbb{A}_Q$ as the ring of noncommutative polynomials in $y_i := y^{e_i}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. Later we will frequently use the following relations ($\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$):

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} &= q^{+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} B_{ij}\alpha_i\alpha_j} y^\alpha, \\ y^\alpha &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} B_{ij}\alpha_i\alpha_j} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Later we will use a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra anti-automorphism $\overline{\ }: \mathbb{A}_Q \to \mathbb{A}_Q$ defined by

$$y^\alpha \mapsto y^\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n), \qquad q \mapsto q^{-1} \tag{2.15}$$

Note that this is an involutive anti-automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, not of R-algebra.

3. Partition q-series

In this section, we recapitulate the notion of partition q-series introduced in [9]. As mentioned in Introduction, we refine and extend the definition, so that we can state the relationship between our partition q-series and the products of quantum dilogarithm (combinatorial DT-invariants) in full generality.

(i) We introduce noncommutative variables $y_1, \dots, y_n$ to keep track of the $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading. This is in conformity to the custom of quantum dilogarithms and DT-invariants. They are naturally associated with the c-vectors as well as s-variables. This graded version of partition q-series now take their values in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$ — the (completed) ring of noncommutative polynomials in $y_1, \dots, y_n$, rather than $\mathbb{N}[[q^{1/\Delta}]]$.

(ii) We make a distinction between green and red mutations, and we add a new rule for red mutations.[3] Although this refinement requires additional data — c-vectors, or equivalently ice quivers, we obtain perfect match (Theorem 6.1) between the partition q-series and the combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariant wherever the latter invariant are defined.

As a bonus of these refinements, we can handle arbitrary non-degenerate mutation sequences[4]. Moreover, the refined version acquire the invariance under the insertion or deletion of backtracking in mutation sequence (Theorem 4.1).

In the case of green mutation sequences, this new definition coincides with the original one [9] just by forgetting $\mathbb{N}^n$ gradings.

---

[2]The coefficient ring R should be chosen in such a way that the factors $q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}kk^\vee}$ of mutation weight (3.5) belong to R. The exponent of q can have nontrivial denominator through the process of expressing $k^\vee$-variables in terms of k-variables. As discussed in [9], there is a positive integer Δ, depending only on the mutation loop, such that $\frac{1}{2}kk^\vee \in \frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$. Then we can choose $R = \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/\Delta})$.

[3]Except the grading, all the results in [9] remains the same in our new setting; all the mutation sequence considered there are green sequences.

[4]In [9], the partition q-series were well-defined only for "positive" mutation loops.

3.1. **The partition q-series.** Let Q be a quiver with vertices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We consider the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$ of Q:

(3.1)
$$Q(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} Q(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow Q(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} Q(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} Q(T)\ .$$

The partition q-series is defined as follows [9]. We first introduce a family of *s-variables* $\{s_i\}$, *k-variables* $\{k_t\}$, and *$k^\vee$-variables* $\{k_t^\vee\}$ by the following rule:

(i) An "initial" s-variable s_v is attached to each vertex v of the initial quiver Q.
(ii) Every time we mutate at vertex v, we add a "new" s-variable associated with v. We often write s_v, s'_v, s''_v, ... to distinguish s-variables attached to the same vertex.
(iii) We associate k_t and $k_t^\vee$ with each mutation at m_t.
(iv) If two vertices are identified by a boundary condition, then the corresponding s-variables are also identified.

The s-, k-, and $k^\vee$-variables are not considered independent; we impose a linear relation for each mutation step. Suppose that the quiver $Q(t-1)$ equipped with s-variables $\{s_i\}$ is mutated at vertex $v = m_t$ to give $Q(t)$. Then k- and s-variables are required to satisfy

(3.2)
$$k_t = \begin{cases} s_v + s'_v - \displaystyle\sum_{a \to v} s_a & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is green } (\varepsilon_t = 1) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{v \to b} s_b - (s_v + s'_v) & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is red } (\varepsilon_t = -1) \end{cases}$$

Here, s'_v is the "new" s-variable attached to mutated vertex v, and the sum is over all the arrows of $Q(t-1)$. Similarly, $k^\vee$- and s-variables are related as

(3.3)
$$k_t^\vee = \begin{cases} s_v + s'_v - \displaystyle\sum_{v \to b} s_b & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is green } (\varepsilon_t = 1) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{a \to v} s_a - (s_v + s'_v) & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is red } (\varepsilon_t = -1) \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

(3.4)
$$k_t^\vee - k_t = \sum_{a \to v} s_a - \sum_{v \to b} s_b$$

holds at each mutation.

The *weight of the mutation* $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ at $v = m_t$ is defined as

(3.5)
$$W(m_t) := \frac{q^{\frac{\varepsilon_t}{2} k_t k_t^\vee}}{(q^{\varepsilon_t})_{k_t}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{q^{\frac{1}{2} k_t k_t^\vee}}{(q)_{k_t}} & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is green,} \\ \dfrac{q^{-\frac{1}{2} k_t k_t^\vee}}{(q^{-1})_{k_t}} & \text{if } \mu_v \text{ is red.} \end{cases}$$

Here, ε_t is the sign of μ_{m_t} and

(3.6)
$$(q)_k := \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - q^i)$$

is the q-Pochhammer symbol.

The $\mathbb{N}^n$-*grading* of the mutation μ_{m_t} is $k_t\alpha_t$ by definition, where

$$\alpha_t := \varepsilon_t c_{m_t}(t-1) \quad \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\} \tag{3.7}$$

is the (sign-corrected) c-vector of the vertex on which mutation is applied.

It is occasionally useful to regard the relation (3.2) as the time evolution of s-variables with the control parameters $\{k_t\}$. Let $s_i(t)$ denote the value of the s-variable associated with vertex i at $Q(t)$. Then (3.2) can be written as

$$s_i(t) = \begin{cases} s_i(t-1) & \text{if } i \neq v, \\ k_t - s_v(t-1) + \sum_a Q(t)_{a,v} s_a(t-1) & \text{if } i = v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is green}, \\ -k_t - s_v(t-1) + \sum_b Q(t)_{v,b} s_b(t-1) & \text{if } i = v \text{ and } \mu_v \text{ is red}. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

With this notation, (3.4) reads as

$$k_t^\vee = k_t - \sum_i B(t-1)_{v,i}\, s_i(t-1) = k_t + \sum_i B(t-1)_{i,v}\, s_i(t-1). \tag{3.9}$$

One can usually solve the linear relations (3.2) for s-variables in terms of k-variables. If this is the case, the mutation loop is called *non-degenerate* (see [9]). Then using (3.3) and (3.5), we can express all the mutation weights $\{W(m_t)\}$ as functions of $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_T)$.

Hereafter we assume that the mutation loop γ is non-degenerate. Then the ($\mathbb{N}^n$-graded) *partition q-series* associated with γ is defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^T} \Big(\prod_{t=1}^{T} W(m_t) \Big) y^{\sum_{t=1}^T k_t \alpha_t}. \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.1. For a fixed $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there is only a finite number of $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_T) \in \mathbb{N}^T$ satisfying $\beta = \sum_{t=1}^T k_t \alpha_t$. Therefore $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$ is well-defined as an element of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$. In our previous paper [9], we had no $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading and thus needed the additional "positive" assumption on the quadratic form in the mutation weight to guarantee this finiteness.

4. Backtracking invariance of the partition q-series

Two successive mutations at the same vertex is called *backtracking*. It is well known that under backtracking a quiver comes back to its original form: $\mu_v(\mu_v(Q)) = Q$. All the c-vectors recover their original values since $\mu_v(\mu_v(\widetilde{Q})) = \widetilde{Q}$.

In this section, we prove that the partition series are invariant under insertion or deletion of backtracking. The original version [9] of partition q-series lacks this property; this is one reason why we adopt different rules (e.g. (3.8)) for different signs (red/green).

Theorem 4.1. The partition q-series is invariant under insertion or deletion of backtracking:

$$\mathcal{Z}((Q; \boldsymbol{m}_1 v\, v\, \boldsymbol{m}_2, \varphi)) = \mathcal{Z}((Q; \boldsymbol{m}_1 \boldsymbol{m}_2, \varphi)). \tag{4.1}$$



FIGURE 2. Mutation loop with backtracking.



FIGURE 3. Backtracking. Only the arrows incident on v are shown.

Proof. The mutation loop $(Q; \boldsymbol{m}_1 v\, v\, \boldsymbol{m}_2, \varphi)$ is shown in Figure 2. We concentrate on two successive mutations constituting the backtracking:

$$\cdots \to Q' \xrightarrow{\mu_v} Q'' \xrightarrow{\mu_v} Q''' \to \cdots \tag{4.2}$$

The proof is given only for the case when the signs of these two mutations are $(+,-) =$ (green, red); the other case $(-,+)$ is left to the reader. By assumption, the c-vector α of the vertex v changes as

$$\alpha \mapsto -\alpha \mapsto \alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Let x', x'', x''' be the s-variables associated with the vertex v of Q', Q'', Q''' respectively, and k_1, k_2 be the k-variables corresponding to the two mutations at v. As in Figure 3, we collectively denote by $i \to v$, $v \to j$ the arrows of Q' touching v, and a_i, b_j be the corresponding s-variables; some of the vertices i, j may be missing, duplicated or identified. By (3.2), (3.3), these s-variables are related with k- and $k^\vee$-variables as

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= x' + x'' - \sum a_i, & k_1^\vee &= x' + x'' - \sum b_j, \\ k_2 &= \sum a_i - (x'' + x'''), & k_2^\vee &= \sum b_j - (x'' + x'''). \end{aligned}$$

The weight corresponding to the backtracking $(v\, v)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W((v\, v)) &= \left(\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(x'+x''-\sum a_i)(x'+x''-\sum b_j)}}{(q)_{x'+x''-\sum a_i}} \right) \times \left(\frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sum a_i-(x''+x'''))(\sum b_j-(x''+x'''))}}{(q^{-1})_{\sum a_i-(x''+x''')}} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k_1(k_1+\sum a_i-\sum b_j)}}{(q)_{k_1}} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}k_2(k_2+\sum b_j-\sum a_i)}}{(q^{-1})_{k_2}} \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2-k_2^2)}}{(q)_{k_1}(q^{-1})_{k_2}} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum a_i-\sum b_j)} \right)^{k_1+k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing over k_1, k_2 with appropriate $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading (see (3.10)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k_1,k_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2-k_2^2)}}{(q)_{k_1}(q^{-1})_{k_2}} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum a_i - \sum b_j)}\right)^{k_1+k_2} y^{(k_1+k_2)\alpha} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2\geq 0 \\ k_1+k_2=n}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^2}}{(q)_{k_1}} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}k_2^2}}{(q^{-1})_{k_2}} \right)}_{=\delta_{n,0}} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum a_i - \sum b_j)}\right)^{n} y^{n\alpha} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the identity (A.2) of Corollary A.2 is used. The nontrivial contribution survives only when $k_1 = k_2 = 0$, or equivalently $x''' = x'$ holds: all the s-variables on Q' and Q''' are vertex-wise equal. Consequently, to evaluate $\mathcal{Z}((Q; \boldsymbol{m}_1 v\, v\, \boldsymbol{m}_2, \varphi))$, we can safely ignore the backtracking without changing its value; thus we have proved (4.1). □

5. Quantum dilogarithms and the combinatorial DT invariants

Kontsevich and Soibelman [13, 14] developed a general theory including motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants, wall-crossings, and cluster algebras. As a combinatorial analogue of this, Keller [10, 11, 12] introduced and studied reddening sequences and combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants.

In this section, we briefly summarize these notions and some known facts. The relationship with partition q-series is the main subject of this paper and will be discussed in Section 6.

5.1. **Quantum dilogarithms.**

A quantum dilogarithm series is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}(y;q) := 1 + \frac{q^{1/2}}{q-1}y + \cdots + \frac{q^{n^2/2}}{(q^n-1)(q^n-q^2)\cdots(q^n-q^{n-1})}y^n + \cdots . \tag{5.1}$$

It is also expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}(y;q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n/2}}{(q)_n} y^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}n^2}}{(q^{-1})_n} y^n = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}y} \tag{5.2}$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-y)^k}{k(q^{-k/2}-q^{k/2})} \right). \tag{5.3}$$

We will mostly use the following form

$$\mathbb{E}(y;q^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^2}}{(q)_n} y^n, \qquad \mathbb{E}(y;q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}n^2}}{(q^{-1})_n} y^n \tag{5.4}$$

It is well-known that if u and v satisfies the relation $uv = qvu$, then the pentagon identity holds [21, 4, 5]:

$$\mathbb{E}(u;q)\,\mathbb{E}(v;q) = \mathbb{E}(v;q)\,\mathbb{E}(q^{-1/2}uv;q)\,\mathbb{E}(u;q). \tag{5.5}$$

5.2. **Reddening sequences.** Consider a mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_T)$ on a quiver Q:

(5.6)
$$Q(0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_1}} Q(1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_2}} \cdots \longrightarrow Q(t-1) \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_t}} Q(t) \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mu_{m_T}} Q(T)\,.$$

The mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is called *reddening* if all vertices of the final quiver $Q(T)$ are red. Clearly, all maximal green sequences are reddening, but the latter class is much wider. Not all quivers admit reddening sequences.

The following facts are known:

Theorem 5.1 (Keller[11])**.** If $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are reddening sequences on the quiver Q, then there is a frozen isomorphism between the final ice quivers $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\wedge) \simeq \mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\wedge)$.

Theorem 5.2 (Brüstle–Dupont–Pérotin [1])**.** Let $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_T)$ be a reddening sequence. Then the associated final ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}(T) = \mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\wedge)$ is frozen isomorphic to a co-framed quiver $Q^\vee$, that is, there is a permutation φ of $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

(i) φ represents an isomorphism of quivers $Q(T) \simeq Q(0)$, and
(ii) in the final ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}(T)$, $i' \to \varphi(i)$ is the only arrow starting from i' and there is no arrow pointing to i'. In terms of c-vectors, we have $c_i(T) = -e_{\varphi(i)}$.

Thanks to Theorem 5.2, every reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is naturally associated with a mutation loop: we can use φ as a boundary condition to make up a mutation loop $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}, \varphi)$, which will be called *reddening mutation loop* corresponding to $\boldsymbol{m}$.

5.3. **Combinatorial DT invariants.** Following Keller, let us associate a quantum dilogarithm $\mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_t}; q^{\varepsilon_t})$ for each mutation $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$. Here ε_t is the sign of μ_{m_t} (see (2.10)) and $\alpha_t = \varepsilon_t c_{m_t}(t-1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is the (sign-corrected) c-vector (see (3.7)). For a mutation sequence (5.6), we consider the following ordered product of these:

$$\mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}) := \mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_1}; q^{\varepsilon_1})\, \mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_2}; q^{\varepsilon_2}) \cdots \mathbb{E}(y^{\alpha_T}; q^{\varepsilon_T}) \quad \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q\,. \tag{5.7}$$

Here, the algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$ and the skew-symmetric form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ are always defined in terms of the initial quiver $Q = Q(0)$. The product of quantum dilogarithms enjoy the following remarkable property.

Theorem 5.3 (Keller[11], Nagao[15])**.** If $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$ are two mutation sequences such that there is a frozen isomorphism between $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}}(Q^\wedge)$ and $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q^\wedge)$, then we have $\mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}) = \mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}')$.

Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 imply that if Q admits a reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$, the power series

$$\mathbb{E}_Q := \mathbb{E}(Q; \boldsymbol{m}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q\,. \tag{5.8}$$

is independent of the choice of the reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ and is canonically associated with Q. Keller [12] named this invariant as *combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas (DT) invariant*.

The pentagon identity (5.5) is nothing but the combinatorial DT invariant of A_2 quiver $Q = (1 \to 2)$ corresponding to the two reddening sequences $\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 2)$ and

$\boldsymbol{m}' = (2,1,2)$ depicted in Figure 1. $\gamma = (Q;(1,2),\mathrm{id})$ and $\gamma' = (Q;(2,1,2),(12))$ are the reddening mutation loops corresponding to $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}'$, respectively.

Remark 5.4. The statements of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 are combinatorial, but the known proofs are based on categorification in terms of Ginzburg dg-algebra [8].

6. Partition q-series and the combinatorial DT invariant

We have seen that every reddening sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is canonically associated with a mutation loop $\gamma = (Q;\boldsymbol{m},\varphi)$. It is thus natural to compare the combinatorial *DT* invariant $\mathbb{E}_Q = \mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m})$ with the partition q-series $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$.

In this section, we show that there is a precise match between the partition q-series and the product of quantum dilogarithms for any reddening mutation sequence. Therefore, the partition q-series provide a state-sum interpretation (fermionic sum formula) for the combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariants.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\gamma = (Q;\boldsymbol{m},\varphi)$ be a reddening mutation loop. Then, the partition q-series and the combinatorial Donaldson-Thomas invariant are related as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \overline{\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m})}.} \tag{6.1}$$

Here $\overline{\ }: \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q \to \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_Q$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra anti-automorphism defined in (2.15).

Section 7 contains various examples of partition q-series covered by Theorem 6.1. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Remark 6.2. In the paper [2], Cecotti-Neitzke-Vafa propose a relation between four-dimensional gauge theories and parafermionic conformal field theories. In particular, they found a wonderful observation that the canonical trace of a special product of quantum dilogarithms associated with a Dynkin diagram is written in terms of characters. It would be interesting to find a precise relation with their work.

6.1. Evolution along mutation sequence.

In this subsection, we collect some results how the s-variables $\{s_i(t)\}$ and c-vectors $\{c_i(t)\}$ evolve along the mutation sequence (5.6). These are needed to keep track of $\mathbb{N}^n$ grading of partition q-series.

Proposition 6.3 is due to Nakanishi-Zelevinsky [17]. A proof is given here to make this paper self-contained.

Proposition 6.3. For the mutation sequence (5.6), we have

$$B(t)_{ij} = \langle c_i(t), c_j(t)\rangle, \qquad (0 \le t \le T) \tag{6.2}$$

or equivalently,

$$C(t)B(0)C(t)^{\top} = B(t). \qquad (0 \le t \le T) \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. We prove (6.2) by induction on t. The case $t = 0$ is clear from (2.12) and $c_i(0) = e_i$. Assuming (6.2) to hold for t, we consider the mutation $\mu_v : Q(t) \to Q(t+1)$ where $v = m_{t+1}$. By skewness of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, it suffices to consider the following four cases:

- (case A-1) $i = v$, $j \neq v$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle c_v(t+1), c_j(t+1)\rangle = \langle -c_v(t), c_j(t) + Q(t)_{j,v}c_v(t)\rangle && \text{(using (2.11))}\\
&= -\langle c_v(t), c_j(t)\rangle && \text{(skew-symmetry of } \langle\ ,\ \rangle)\\
&= -B(t)_{v,j} && \text{(by induction hypothesis)}\\
&= B(t+1)_{v,j}. && \text{(using (2.4))}
\end{aligned}$$

- (case A-2) $i \neq v$, $j = v$. The proof closely parallels that of (case A-1).

- (case B-1) $i \neq v$, $j \neq v$, μ_v is green mutation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle c_i(t+1), c_j(t+1)\rangle\\
&= \langle c_i(t) + Q(t)_{i,v}c_v(t), c_j(t) + Q(t)_{j,v}c_v(t)\rangle && \text{(using (2.11))}\\
&= \langle c_i(t), c_j(t)\rangle + Q(t)_{i,v}\langle c_v(t), c_j(t)\rangle + Q(t)_{j,v}\langle c_i(t), c_v(t)\rangle\\
& && \text{(skew-symmetry of } \langle\ ,\ \rangle)\\
&= B(t)_{i,j} + Q(t)_{i,v}B(t)_{v,j} + Q(t)_{j,v}B(t)_{i,v} && \text{(by induction hypothesis)}\\
&= B(t)_{i,j} + Q(t)_{i,v}(Q(t)_{v,j} - Q(t)_{j,v}) + Q(t)_{j,v}(Q(t)_{i,v} - Q(t)_{v,i})\\
&= B(t)_{i,j} + Q(t)_{i,v}Q(t)_{v,j} - Q(t)_{j,v}Q(t)_{v,i}\\
&= B(t+1)_{i,j}. && \text{(using (2.4))}
\end{aligned}$$

- (case B-2) $i \neq v$, $j \neq v$, μ_v is red mutation. The proof is similar to that of (case B-1).

In conclusion, (6.2) is also true for $t+1$. □

Since c-vectors $\{c_i(t)\}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for each t, it is natural to introduce the *state vector* of $Q(t)$ defined by

$$\psi(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(t)c_i(t) \ \in \mathbb{Z}^n \qquad (0 \leq t \leq T). \tag{6.4}$$

Proposition 6.4. Along the mutation sequence (5.6), the state vector changes as

$$\psi(t) = \psi(t-1) - k_t\alpha_t, \qquad (t = 1, \ldots, T). \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1) $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ is green ($\varepsilon_t = +1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(t) &= \sum_i s_i(t)c_i(t)\\
&= s_{m_t}(t)c_{m_t}(t) + \sum_{i \neq m_t} s_i(t)c_i(t)\\
&= \Big(k_t - s_{m_t}(t-1) + \sum_a Q(t-1)_{a,m_t}s_a(t-1)\Big)(-c_{m_t}(t-1)) && \text{(by (3.8))}\\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq m_t} s_i(t-1)\big(c_i(t-1) + Q(t-1)_{i,m_t}c_{m_t}(t-1)\big) && \text{(by (2.11))}\\
&= \big(k_t - s_{m_t}(t-1)\big)(-c_{m_t}(t-1)) + \sum_{i \neq m_t} s_i(t-1)\big(c_i(t-1)\big)\\
&= -k_tc_{m_t}(t-1) + \sum_i s_i(t-1)c_i(t-1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \psi(t-1) - k_t\varepsilon_t c_{m_t}(t-1) \\
&= \psi(t-1) - k_t\alpha_t.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2) $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ is red ($\varepsilon_t = -1$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(t) &= \sum_i s_i(t)c_i(t) \\
&= s_{m_t}(t)c_{m_t}(t) + \sum_{i\neq m_t} s_i(t)c_i(t) \\
&= \Big(-k_t - s_{m_t}(t-1) + \sum_b Q(t-1)_{m_t,b}s_b(t-1)\Big)(-c_{m_t}(t-1)) && \text{(by (3.8))} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i\neq m_t} s_i(t-1)\big(c_i(t-1) + Q(t-1)_{m_t,i}c_{m_t}(t-1)\big) && \text{(by (2.11))} \\
&= \big(-k_t - s_{m_t}(t-1)\big)(-c_{m_t}(t-1)) + \sum_{i\neq m_t} s_i(t-1)\big(c_i(t-1)\big) \\
&= +k_t c_{m_t}(t-1) + \sum_i s_i(t-1)c_i(t-1) \\
&= \psi(t-1) - k_t\varepsilon_t c_{m_t}(t-1) \\
&= \psi(t-1) - k_t\alpha_t.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Therefore $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading of the partition q-series expresses the total change of the state vector around the mutation loop:

Corollary 6.5. The state vectors of the initial and the final quivers are related as

$$\psi(0) - \psi(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t.$$

Lemma 6.6. Let $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}, \varphi)$ be a reddening mutation loop. Then,

(i) The state vectors $\{\psi(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ are anti-periodic along the loop, that is,

$$\psi(T) = -\psi(0).$$

(ii) The mutation loop γ is non-degenerate. In particular, the initial s-variables are expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{s}(0) := (s_1(0), \dots, s_n(0)) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t.$$

Proof. By the boundary condition φ, the initial and the final s-variables are identified as $s_i(T) = s_{\varphi(i)}(0)$. By (ii) of Theorem 5.2, we have also $c_i(T) = -c_{\varphi(i)}(0)$. Therefore

$$\psi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(T)c_i(T) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{\varphi(i)}(0)c_{\varphi(i)}(0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j(0)c_j(0) = -\psi(0).$$

This proves (i). We have then

$$\boldsymbol{s}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(0)e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(0)c_i(0) = \psi(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(0) - \psi(T)) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t\alpha_t,$$

where the last equality is by Corollary 6.5. Thus the initial s-variables are expressed in terms of k-variables alone. We can obtain similar formulas for the remaining s-variables by recursive use of the relation (3.8). This proves (ii). □

The following relation will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proposition 6.7. For any mutation sequence, we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee + \langle \psi(0), \psi(T) \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t^2 - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le T} k_i k_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t (k_t^\vee - k_t) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t \sum_{i=1}^{n} B(t-1)_{i,m_t} s_i(t-1) && \text{(by (3.9))} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle c_i(t-1), c_{m_t}(t-1) \rangle s_i(t-1) && \text{(by (6.2))} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle c_i(t-1), \alpha_t \rangle s_i(t-1) && \text{(by (3.7))} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \langle \psi(t-1), \alpha_t \rangle && \text{(by (6.4))} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \langle \psi(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} k_i \alpha_i, \alpha_t \rangle && \text{(by (6.5))} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \langle \psi(0), \alpha_t \rangle - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} k_i k_t \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_t \rangle \\
&= \Big\langle \psi(0), \sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \alpha_t \Big\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} k_i k_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi(0), \psi(0) - \psi(T) \rangle - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le T} k_i k_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle && \text{(by Corollary 6.5)} \\
&= -\langle \psi(0), \psi(T) \rangle - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le T} k_i k_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle. && \text{(by skewness of } \langle\ ,\ \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

By arranging the terms, we obtain (6.6). □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.1.** We are now ready to prove Theorem 6.1. The partition q-series associated with the loop γ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^T} \prod_{t=1}^{T} W(m_t)\, y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \alpha_t} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^T} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee}}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} (q^{\varepsilon_t})_{k_t}}\, y^{\sum_{t=1}^{T} k_t \alpha_t}. \tag{6.7}$$

On the other hand, the quantum dilogarithm product along $\boldsymbol{m}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m}) &= \mathbb{E}(m_1;q^{\varepsilon_1})\,\mathbb{E}(m_2;q^{\varepsilon_2})\cdots\mathbb{E}(m_T;q^{\varepsilon_T})\\ &= \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}k_1^2}}{(q^{-\varepsilon_1})_{k_1}}y^{k_1\alpha_1}\right)\cdots\left(\sum_{k_T=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{-\frac{\varepsilon_T}{2}k_T^2}}{(q^{-\varepsilon_T})_{k_T}}y^{k_T\alpha_T}\right)\\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^T}\frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^T\varepsilon_t k_t^2}}{\prod_{t=1}^T(q^{-\varepsilon_t})_{k_t}}\,y^{k_1\alpha_1}\cdots y^{k_T\alpha_T}\\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^T}\frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^T\varepsilon_t k_t^2}}{\prod_{t=1}^T(q^{-\varepsilon_t})_{k_t}}q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le T}k_ik_j\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_j\rangle}\,y^{\sum_{t=1}^T k_t\alpha_t}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m})} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^T}\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^T\varepsilon_t k_t^2}}{\prod_{t=1}^T(q^{\varepsilon_t})_{k_t}}q^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le T}k_ik_j\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_j\rangle}\,y^{\sum_{t=1}^T k_t\alpha_t}. \tag{6.8}$$

Thus, all we have to show is that the exponents of q in the summands of (6.7) and (6.8) are equal for every $\boldsymbol{k}$:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T\varepsilon_t k_t k_t^\vee = \sum_{t=1}^T\varepsilon_t k_t^2 - \sum_{1\le i<j\le T}k_ik_j\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_j\rangle. \tag{6.9}$$

Indeed, by Lemma 6.6 (i), we have $\psi(T) = -\psi(0)$, which implies $\langle\psi(0),\psi(T)\rangle = -\langle\psi(0),\psi(0)\rangle = 0$ by the skewness of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Then (6.9) follows from Proposition 6.7. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

7. Examples

In this section, we collect various examples of the reddening mutation loops and the associated partition q-series to illustrate Theorem 6.1.

7.1. $A_2^{(1)}$-quiver. As a simplest example of quiver with an oriented cycle, let us take the $A_2^{(1)}$ quiver

$$Q = \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \\ \swarrow & & \nwarrow \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & 3 \end{array} \quad .$$

By performing successive mutations on $\widetilde{Q}(0) := Q^\vee$ (Figure 4), it is easy to see that the mutation sequence

$$\boldsymbol{m} = (1,2,3,1)$$

is maximal green, reddening sequence with the boundary condition

$$(13) = (1\mapsto 3,\ 2\mapsto 2,\ 3\mapsto 1)\in S_3. \tag{7.1}$$

From Figure 4 we can read off the c-vectors of the mutating vertices:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= c_1(0) = (1,0,0), & \alpha_2 &= c_2(1) = (0,1,0),\\ \alpha_3 &= c_3(2) = (1,0,1), & \alpha_4 &= c_1(3) = (0,0,1).\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 4. Reddening mutation loop for $A_2^{(1)}$-quiver.

The s-variables change as follows (cf. (3.8)):

	1	2	3
$Q(0)$	s_1	s_2	s_3
$Q(1)$	$s'_1 = k_1 - s_1 + s_3$	s_2	s_3
$Q(2)$	$s'_1 = k_1 - s_1 + s_3$	$s'_2 = k_2 - s_2$	s_3
$Q(3)$	$s'_1 = k_1 - s_1 + s_3$	$s'_2 = k_2 - s_2$	$s'_3 = k_3 - s_3 + s'_1$
$Q(4)$	$s''_1 = k_4 - s'_1 + s'_3$	$s'_2 = k_2 - s_2$	$s'_3 = k_3 - s_3 + s'_1$

The boundary condition (7.1) imposes

$$s''_1 = s_3, \qquad s'_2 = s_2, \qquad s'_3 = s_1.$$

From these relations, we can express s-variables in terms of k-variables:

$$s_1 = s'_3 = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_3), \quad s'_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_1+k_4), \quad s_2 = s'_2 = \frac{k_2}{2}, \quad s_3 = s''_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_3 + k_4).$$

The $k^\vee$-variables are then

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^\vee &= s_1 + s'_1 - s_2 = k_1 - \tfrac{k_2}{2} + \tfrac{k_3}{2} + \tfrac{k_4}{2}, \\ k_2^\vee &= s_2 + s'_2 - s'_1 = -\tfrac{k_1}{2} + k_2 - \tfrac{k_4}{2}, \\ k_3^\vee &= s_3 + s'_3 \qquad\quad = \tfrac{k_1}{2} + k_3 + \tfrac{k_4}{2}, \\ k_4^\vee &= s'_1 + s''_1 - s'_2 = \tfrac{k_1}{2} - \tfrac{k_2}{2} + \tfrac{k_3}{2} + k_4. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these into the definition of mutation weights (3.5) and summing over k-variables, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^4} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2+k_4^2-k_1k_2+k_1k_3+k_1k_4-k_2k_4+k_3k_4)}}{(q)_{k_1}(q)_{k_2}(q)_{k_3}(q)_{k_4}} y^{(k_1+k_3,k_2,k_3+k_4)}. \tag{7.2}$$

7.2. **Square product $A_3\square A_2$.** As an example of the quivers of square product type (see [9] for definition), consider

$$Q = A_3\square A_2 = \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 3 & \longleftarrow & 5 \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ 2 & \longleftarrow & 4 & \longrightarrow & 6 \end{array} . \tag{7.3}$$

One can check that

$$\boldsymbol{m} = (1,4,5,2,3,6,1,4,5) \tag{7.4}$$

is a reddening sequence with the boundary condition

$$\varphi = (12)(34)(56) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in S_6. \tag{7.5}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_9)$ be the k-variables corresponding to mutation sequence (7.4). The evolution of s-variables along the mutation loop is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lllllll} s_1 & \mapsto & s_1' = k_1 - s_1 + s_2 & \mapsto & s_1'' = k_7 - s_1' + s_2' & = & s_2 \\ s_2 & \mapsto & s_2' = k_4 - s_2 + s_1' & & & = & s_1 \\ s_3 & \mapsto & s_3' = k_5 - s_3 + s_4' & & & = & s_4 \\ s_4 & \mapsto & s_4' = k_2 - s_4 + s_3 & \mapsto & s_4'' = k_8 - s_4' + s_3' & = & s_3 \\ s_5 & \mapsto & s_5' = k_3 - s_5 + s_6 & \mapsto & s_5'' = k_9 - s_5' + s_6' & = & s_6 \\ s_6 & \mapsto & s_6' = k_6 - s_6 + s_5' & & & = & s_5 \end{array}$$

One can express all s-variables in terms of k-variables:

$$\begin{array}{lll} s_1 = s_2' = (k_1 + k_4)/2, & s_2 = s_1'' = (k_4 + k_7)/2, & s_3 = s_4'' = (k_5 + k_8)/2, \\ s_4 = s_3' = (k_2 + k_5)/2, & s_5 = s_6' = (k_3 + k_6)/2, & s_6 = s_5'' = (k_6 + k_9)/2, \\ s_1' = (k_1 + k_7)/2, & s_4' = (k_2 + k_8)/2, & s_5' = (k_3 + k_9)/2, \end{array}$$

The c-vectors of mutating vertices are

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha_1 = (100000), & \alpha_2 = (000100), & \alpha_3 = (000010), \\ \alpha_4 = (110000), & \alpha_5 = (001100), & \alpha_6 = (000011), \\ \alpha_7 = (010000), & \alpha_8 = (001000), & \alpha_9 = (000001). \end{array}$$

We obtain the partition q-series

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^9} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{k}^\top A\, \boldsymbol{k}}}{\prod_{t=1}^{9} (q)_{k_t}} y^{\beta(\boldsymbol{k})}$$

where

$$\beta(\boldsymbol{k}) = (k_1 + k_4, k_4 + k_7, k_5 + k_8, k_2 + k_5, k_3 + k_6, k_6 + k_9)$$

and A is a symmetric 9×9 matrix given by

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} A' & A'' & A'' \\ \hline A'' & A' & A'' \\ \hline A'' & A'' & A' \end{array}\right), \qquad A' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 7.1. The mutation loop (7.4) is different from the one considered in our previous work:

$$\gamma' = (Q, \boldsymbol{m}', \mathrm{id}) \qquad \boldsymbol{m}' = (1,4,5,2,3,6).$$

(See Theorem 6.1 of [9] and the example therein.) Although $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}'}(Q)$ is isomorphic to Q, $\boldsymbol{m}'$ is not a reddening sequence. The sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ contains $\boldsymbol{m}'$ as a proper subsequence.

7.3. **Octahedral quiver.** Here is another example of non-alternating quiver — the octahedral quiver:

$Q =$ 

.

The mutation sequence

$$\boldsymbol{m} = (1, 2, 5, 6, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 6, 3, 4)$$

together with the boundary condition

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \in S_6.$$

form a reddening, maximal green mutation loop $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}, \varphi)$ of length $T = 12$. Indeed, the c-matrix of the final quiver $Q(T)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is a (negative of) permutation matrix corresponding to φ.

Let $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_{12})$ be the k-variables corresponding to mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$. In this example, every vertex is mutated twice. The evolution of s-variables along the mutation loop is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lllllll}
s_1 & \mapsto & s_1' = k_1 - s_1 + s_3 + s_6 & \mapsto & s_1'' = k_7 - s_1' + s_3' + s_6' & = & s_1 \\
s_2 & \mapsto & s_2' = k_2 - s_2 + s_4 & \mapsto & s_2'' = k_8 - s_2' + s_4' & = & s_5 \\
s_3 & \mapsto & s_3' = k_5 - s_3 + s_1' & \mapsto & s_3'' = k_{11} - s_3' + s_1'' & = & s_6 \\
s_4 & \mapsto & s_4' = k_6 - s_4 + s_2' + s_5' & \mapsto & s_4'' = k_{12} - s_4' + s_2'' + s_5'' & = & s_4 \\
s_5 & \mapsto & s_5' = k_3 - s_5 + s_4 & \mapsto & s_5'' = k_9 - s_5' + s_4' & = & s_2 \\
s_6 & \mapsto & s_6' = k_4 - s_6 + s_1' & \mapsto & s_6'' = k_{10} - s_6' + s_1'' & = & s_3
\end{array}$$

Solving these, we can express all s-variables in terms of k-variables:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
s_1 = s_1'' = (k_1 + k_4 + k_5 + k_7)/2, & s_2 = s_5'' = (k_2 + k_6 + k_9)/2, \\
s_3 = s_6'' = (k_5 + k_7 + k_{10})/2, & s_4 = s_4'' = (k_6 + k_8 + k_9 + k_{12})/2, \\
s_5 = s_2'' = (k_3 + k_6 + k_8)/2, & s_6 = s_3'' = (k_4 + k_7 + k_{11})/2, \\
s_1' = (k_1 + k_7 + k_{10} + k_{11})/2, & s_2' = (k_2 + k_8 + k_{12})/2 \\
s_3' = (k_1 + k_5 + k_{11})/2, & s_4' = (k_2 + k_3 + k_6 + k_{12})/2
\end{array}$$

$$s'_5 = (k_3 + k_9 + k_{12})/2, \qquad s'_6 = (k_1 + k_4 + k_{10})/2.$$

The partition q-series is now given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^{12}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{k}^\top A\,\boldsymbol{k}}}{\prod_i (q)_{k_i}} y^{\beta(\boldsymbol{k})}, \tag{7.6}$$

where

$$\beta(\boldsymbol{k}) = \big(k_1 + k_4 + k_5 + k_7, k_2 + k_6 + k_9, k_5 + k_7 + k_{10}, \\ k_6 + k_8 + k_9 + k_{12}, k_3 + k_6 + k_8, k_4 + k_7 + k_{11}\big) \in \mathbb{N}^6$$

and A is the 12×12 symmetric matrix of the following form:

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A' & A'' \\ \hline A'' & A' \end{array}\right),$$

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A'' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 6.1, the partition q-series (7.6) is equal to $\overline{\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m})}$, where

$$\mathbb{E}(Q;\boldsymbol{m}) = \mathbb{E}(y^{(100000)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(010000)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(000010)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(100001)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(101000)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(010110)}) \\ \times \mathbb{E}(y^{(101001)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(000110)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(010100)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(001000)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(000001)})\,\mathbb{E}(y^{(000100)}).$$

is the product of quantum dilogarithms, $\mathbb{E}(y^\alpha) = \mathbb{E}(y^\alpha; q)$.

7.4. **Alternating quivers.** A vertex i of a quiver is a *source* (respectively, a *sink*) if there are no arrows α with target i (respectively, with source i). A quiver is *alternating* if each of its vertices is a source or a sink. Denote by Q_0^+ (Q_0^-) the set of all sources (sinks) of the alternating quiver Q, respectively. Since $Q_0 = Q_0^+ \sqcup Q_0^-$, the underlying graph $\underline{Q}$, a graph obtained by forgetting the orientation of arrows, is bipartite.

For an alternating quiver Q, there is a simple recipe for constructing a reddening sequence/loop.

Proposition 7.2. Suppose Q is an alternating quiver, and $\boldsymbol{m}_\pm$ be arbitrary permutations of $Q_0^\pm$, respectively. Let $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_+\boldsymbol{m}_-$ be their concatenation, considered as a mutation sequence of length $n = |Q_0|$. Then, the c-vectors of $Q(t)$ are given by

$$c_i(t) = \begin{cases} e_i & \text{if } i \notin \{m_1, \dots, m_t\}, \\ -e_i & \text{if } i \in \{m_1, \dots, m_t\}, \end{cases} \qquad (0 \le t \le n). \tag{7.7}$$

In particular, the sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is maximal green and $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}_+\boldsymbol{m}_-, \mathrm{id})$ is a reddening mutation loop.

Proof. First note that $\boldsymbol{m}$ is a *source sequence*, that is, each mutating vertex m_t is a source of $Q(t-1)$ for all $1 \le t \le n$. To see this, it is helpful to consider $\boldsymbol{m}_+$ and $\boldsymbol{m}_-$ separately. The claim is clear for the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}_+$ applied on Q. When the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}_+$ is over, we have $\mu_{\boldsymbol{m}_+}(Q) = Q^{op}$; here Q^{op} is the quiver obtained by reversing all the arrows in Q. Now all the vertices in $\boldsymbol{m}_-$ are sources of $\mu_+(Q) = Q^{op}$, so $\boldsymbol{m}_-$ is also a source sequence.

Since only source vertices are mutated, mutation rules 1) and 3) are never used; mutations change only the orientations of arrows. The underlying graph $\underline{Q}$ remains the same.

Let $M(t) := \{m_1, \dots, m_t\} \subset Q_0$ be the set of mutated vertices during the first t mutations. We prove (7.7) by induction on t. The claim holds for $t = 0$, since $M(0) = \emptyset$ and $c_i(0) = e_i$ for all i. Suppose the claim is true for $0, 1, \dots, t-1$. Then the mutation $\mu_{m_t} : Q(t-1) \to Q(t)$ is green because $m_t \notin \{m_1, \dots, m_{t-1}\}$ and thus $c_{m_t}(t-1) = e_{m_t} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ by induction hypothesis. Moreover, $Q(t-1)_{i,m_t} = 0$ since m_t is a source of $Q(t-1)$, as we have seen above. Thus by (2.11), the c-vectors change as

$$c_i(t) = \begin{cases} -c_i(t-1) & \text{if } i = m_t, \\ c_i(t-1) & \text{if } i \neq m_t. \end{cases}$$

With $M(t) = M(t-1) \sqcup \{m_t\}$, this shows that the claim is also true for t. The rest of the proposition follows immediately from (7.7). □

Let us compute $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$ for the reddening loop $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}_+\boldsymbol{m}_-, \mathrm{id})$. Note that the sequence $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, n)$. Every vertex i is mutated exactly once, and the initial and final s-variables s_i, s'_i are identified by the boundary condition $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$. As we will soon see, it is convenient to label k-variables not by the mutation time but by the vertex label. From now on, k_i will denote the k-variable associated with the mutation at vertex i, rather than i-th mutation.

To compute the weight for γ, it suffices to know the underlying graph $\underline{Q}$, because we can recover arrow orientations from the fact that "every mutation occurs at a source". All the information of $\underline{Q}$ is encoded in the *generalized Cartan matrix*

$$(C)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -(Q_{ij} + Q_{ji}) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

Before stating the general result for $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$ (Theorem 7.3), let us take an example — an alternating quiver of affine D_5 type:

$$Q = \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & & & & 5 \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & 3 \leftarrow 4 & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ 2 & & & & 6 \end{array} \quad . \tag{7.9}$$

The generalized Cartan matrix of Q is given by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.10}$$



FIGURE 5. Reddening mutation loop for affine D_5 alternating quiver. Mutating vertices are marked with circles.

Put $\boldsymbol{m}_+ = (1, 2, 4)$ and $\boldsymbol{m}_- = (3, 5, 6)$. By Proposition 7.2, the mutation sequence

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_+\boldsymbol{m}_- = (1, 2, 4, 3, 5, 6)$$

is maximal green, reddening sequence with the boundary condition $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ (see Figure 5).

The s-variables change as follows:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
$Q(0)$	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	s_5	s_6
$Q(1)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	s_2	s_3	s_4	s_5	s_6
$Q(2)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	$s'_2{=}k_2{-}s_2$	s_3	s_4	s_5	s_6
$Q(3)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	$s'_2{=}k_2{-}s_2$	s_3	$s'_4{=}k_4{-}s_4$	s_5	s_6
$Q(4)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	$s'_2{=}k_2{-}s_2$	$s'_3{=}k_3{-}s_3$	$s'_4{=}k_4{-}s_4$	s_5	s_6
$Q(5)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	$s'_2{=}k_2{-}s_2$	$s'_3{=}k_3{-}s_3$	$s'_4{=}k_4{-}s_4$	$s'_5{=}k_5{-}s_5$	s_6
$Q(6)$	$s'_1{=}k_1{-}s_1$	$s'_2{=}k_2{-}s_2$	$s'_3{=}k_3{-}s_3$	$s'_4{=}k_4{-}s_4$	$s'_5{=}k_5{-}s_5$	$s'_6{=}k_6{-}s_6$

The boundary condition $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ imposes $s_i = s'_i = k_i - s_i$ for all i, so we have

$$s_i = s'_i = \frac{1}{2}k_i \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, 6).$$

The $k^\vee$-variables (also labeled by mutated vertices) are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1^\vee &= s_1 + s_1' - s_3 && = k_1 - \tfrac{k_3}{2},\\
k_2^\vee &= s_2 + s_2' - s_3 && = k_2 - \tfrac{k_3}{2},\\
k_4^\vee &= s_4 + s_4' - (s_3 + s_5 + s_6) && = -\tfrac{k_3}{2} + k_4 - \tfrac{k_5}{2} - \tfrac{k_6}{2},\\
k_3^\vee &= s_3 + s_3' - (s_1' + s_2' + s_4') && = -\tfrac{k_1}{2} - \tfrac{k_2}{2} + k_3 - \tfrac{k_4}{2},\\
k_5^\vee &= s_5 + s_5' - s_4' && = -\tfrac{k_4}{2} + k_5,\\
k_6^\vee &= s_6 + s_6' - s_4' && = -\tfrac{k_4}{2} + k_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the the weight of the mutation now reads

$$W(\boldsymbol{m}) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i k_i k_i^\vee}}{\prod_{i=1}^6 (q)_{k_i}} = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2+k_4^2+k_5^2+k_6^2-k_1k_3-k_2k_3-k_3k_4-k_4k_5-k_4k_6\right)}}{\prod_{i=1}^6 (q)_{k_i}}.$$

Every mutating vertex m_t is green with c-vector $\alpha_t = e_{m_t}$. The $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading of the mutation sequence $\boldsymbol{m}$ is then

$$\sum_{t=1}^6 k_{m_t} e_{m_t} = \sum_{i=1}^6 k_i e_i = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6) = \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^6\,.$$

Combining all these, we obtain a neat expression for the partition q-series:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^6} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{k}^\top C \boldsymbol{k}}}{\prod_{i=1}^6 (q)_{k_i}} y^{\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{7.11}$$

where C is nothing but the generalized Cartan matrix (7.10).

In fact, this generalize to all alternating quivers:

Theorem 7.3. Suppose Q is an alternating quiver, and $\gamma = (Q; \boldsymbol{m}, \mathrm{id})$ be the reddening mutation loop constructed as in Proposition 7.2. Let $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ be the vector of k-variables indexed by the vertices. Then the partition q-series is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \overline{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{m}; q)} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{k}^\top C\, \boldsymbol{k}}}{\prod_i (q)_{k_i}} y^{\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{7.12}$$

where C is the generalized Cartan matrix of Q given in (7.8).

Proof. From Proposition 7.2, $\varepsilon_t = 1$ and $c_{m_t}(t-1) = e_{m_t}$ for all mutation time $1 \le t \le n$. Thus we have $\alpha_t = e_{m_t}$ in (3.7). The $\mathbb{N}^n$-grading is therefore given by $\sum_{t=1}^n k_{m_t} e_{m_t} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i = \boldsymbol{k}$.

Consider a mutation at vertex i. As we have seen, i is a source and there is no arrow ending on i. The initial (= final) s-variable and the k-variable are thus related as $2s_i = k_i$, so we have

$$s_i = \frac{k_i}{2} \qquad (1 \le i \le n). \tag{7.13}$$

The $k^\vee$-variables are then expressed as

$$k_i^\vee = 2s_i - \sum_{i\to j} s_j = 2s_i - \sum_{i\sim j} s_j = k_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\sim j} k_j. \tag{7.14}$$

Here $i \sim j$ means the vertices i and j are adjacent in the underlying graph $\underline{Q}$. Using the generalized Cartan matrix (7.8), the relation (7.14) is concisely written as

$$\boldsymbol{k}^{\vee} = \frac{1}{2} C \boldsymbol{k}. \tag{7.15}$$

Thus the partition q-series is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k_i k_i^{\vee}}}{(q)_{k_i}} \right) y^{\boldsymbol{k}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{k}^{\top} C \boldsymbol{k}}}{\prod_i (q)_{k_i}} y^{\boldsymbol{k}}. \tag{7.16}$$

The equality $\mathcal{Z}(\gamma) = \overline{\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{m}; q)}$ follows from Theorem 6.1. □

Remark 7.4. In our previous work, we computed the partition q-series for the product of Dynkin quivers and observed that they are fermionic character formulas of certain conformal field theories (Theorem 6.1 of [9]). The case considered here are different from those because (i) $Q \square Q'$ is not alternating in general, and (ii) the sequences given in [9] are not reddening. However, $Q \square Q' = X \square A_1$ with $C_{Q'} = (2)$ are exceptional cases to which Theorem 6.1 is applicable.

Appendix A. Some identities related with quantum dilogarithm

Proposition A.1.

$$\mathbb{E}(y; q)\, \mathbb{E}(y; q^{-1}) = 1 \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. This follows from, for example by exchanging $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$ in the expression (5.3). □

Corollary A.2.

$$\sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r+s=n}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}r^2}}{(q)_r} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2}}{(q^{-1})_s} = \delta_{n,0} \qquad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. This is proved by expanding (A.1) as a series in y, and taking the coefficient of y^n. An alternative proof goes as follows. We begin by the q-binomial formula

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^k x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_r (q)_{n-r}} x^r. \tag{A.3}$$

The right hand side of (A.3) can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r+s=n}} q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_r (q)_s} x^r = \sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r+s=n}} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_r (q^{-1})_s} q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{s(s+1)}{2}} (-1)^s x^r.$$

By putting $x = -1$ into (A.3), we have

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^k) = (-1)^n q^{-\frac{n}{2}} (q)_n \sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r+s=n}} \frac{1}{(q)_r (q^{-1})_s} q^{\frac{r^2 - s^2}{2}}. \tag{A.4}$$

The left hand side of (A.4) is 1 if $n = 0$, and 0 otherwise. □

References

[1] Thomas Brüstle, Grégoire Dupont, and Matthieu Pérotin. On maximal green sequences. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, (16):4547–4586, 2014.

[2] Sergio Cecotti, Andrew Neitzke, and Cumrun Vafa. R-twisting and 4d/2d correspondences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.3435*, 2010.

[3] Harm Derksen, Jerzy Weyman, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Quivers with potentials and their representations II: Applications to cluster algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(3):749–790, 2010.

[4] L. Faddeev and A. Y. Volkov. Abelian current algebra and the Virasoro algebra on the lattice. *Physics Letters B*, 315:311–318, October 1993.

[5] L. D. Faddeev and R. M. Kashaev. Quantum Dilogarithm. *Modern Physics Letters A*, 9:427–434, 1994.

[6] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I: foundations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.

[7] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras IV: coefficients. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143(01):112–164, 2007.

[8] Victor Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. *arXiv preprint math/0612139*, 2006.

[9] Akishi Kato and Yuji Terashima. Quiver mutation loops and partition q-series. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, to appear. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.6569*, 2014.

[10] Bernhard Keller. On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm identities. In *Representations of Algebras and Related Topics, Editors A. Skowronski and K. Yamagata, EMS Series of Congress Reports, European Mathematical Society*, pages 85–11, 2011.

[11] Bernhard Keller. Cluster algebras and derived categories. In *Derived categories in algebraic geometry*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 123–183. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.

[12] Bernhard Keller. Quiver mutation and combinatorial DT-invariants. *FPSAC '13 The 25th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics. Paris, France, June 24–28, 2013*, 2013.

[13] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0811.2435*, 2008.

[14] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.2706*, 2010.

[15] Kentaro Nagao. Quantum dilogarithm identities. In *Infinite analysis 2010—Developments in quantum integrable systems*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B28, pages 165–170. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2011.

[16] Kentaro Nagao. Donaldson-Thomas theory and cluster algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(7):1313–1367, 2013.

[17] Tomoki Nakanishi and Andrei Zelevinsky. On tropical dualities in cluster algebras. In *Algebraic groups and quantum groups*, volume 565 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 217–226. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

[18] Pierre-Guy Plamondon. Cluster characters for cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 227(1):1–39, 2011.

[19] Markus Reineke. Poisson automorphisms and quiver moduli. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 9(03):653–667, 2010.

[20] Markus Reineke. Cohomology of quiver moduli, functional equations, and integrality of Donaldson-Thomas type invariants. *Compositio Mathematica*, 147(03):943–964, 2011.

[21] Marcel Paul Schützenberger. Une interprétation de certaines solutions de l'équation fonctionnelle: $F(x+y) = F(x)F(y)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 236:352–353, 1953.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.

E-mail address: akishi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Graduate School of Information Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan.

E-mail address: tera@is.titech.ac.jp